

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/023,485	10/30/2001	Bernard R. Ador	225P10US01	3531
	75	590 12/11/2002			
	Michael L. Mau			EXAMINER	
	Mau & Krull, P Suite E	.A.		CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C	
	1250 Moore La			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fridley, MN 55432		3432		3634	
				DATE MAILED: 12/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Summary	10/023,485	ADOR, BERNARD R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAII ING DATE of this communication and	Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status  1) Responsive to communication (c) filed on						
<ul><li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li><li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li><li>2b) This action is FINAL.</li></ul>	— · is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application	l.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i priority under 33 0.5.6. § 119(e	1)-(u) or (i).				
, -	s have been received					
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li></ul>		on No				
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li></ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/023,485

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5,9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The limitation of claims 9 and 10 is unclear. In claim 5, the phrase "the pivot" lacks antecedent basis.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Matts.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Huber. Huber shows a bearing member 16, first member 19, and a second member 21.

Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kolstedt. Kolstedt shows a bearing member (24,29), first member 5, and a second member 19.

Application/Control Number: 10/023,485

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Weber. Weber shows a bearing member c, first member b, and a second member a.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,2, and 6-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hill in view of Flowers. Hill shows the claimed device with the exception of the claimed routing of the rope. Fowler show the routing of a rope completely around a handle operated sheaved friction block enabling enhanced friction of his safety device on his rope. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hill to route his rope around his handle operated friction block 6 to enhance friction on his rope. The claimed method of securing, would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the modified device to Hill.

Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hill and Fowler as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Brda or Petzl. Both Brda and Petzl show hinged covers. It would have been obvious to one of

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/023,485

Art Unit: 3634

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hill to comprise a hinged cover to facilitate the routing of his rope.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 703-308-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3597 for regular communications and 703-305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-3008-1113.

Alvin C. Chin-Shue Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

ACS December 6, 2002